х т		
		FILED CLERK OF COURT
1		
2		2025 JAN -9 PM 2: 07
3	IN THE SUPERIOR	COURT OF GUAM SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM
4	THE PEOPLE OF GUAM,	Criminal Case No. CF0042-21
5	v.	GPD Report No. 20-31823 Criminal Case No. CF0048-18 GPD Report No. 18-02431
6	TOMMY PETER	GFD Report No. 18-02451
7	(aka TOMMY FASI)	
8	(aka BATNI FASI) (aka BALTI FASI)	<b>DECISION AND ORDER</b>
9	(aka BAT FASY)	GRANTING
10	(aka BATLY FASI) (aka PATSY FASI)	THE PEOPLE'S MOTION TO REVOKE PROBATION
11	(aka PATNI FASI)	
12	(aka BENTY PATCHES) (aka PLENTY PATCHES)	
	(aka BENTY)	
13	(aka JOHN DOE) (aka TEKESON WILLIAM)	
14	(aka TOMMY WILLIAM)	
15	DOB: 05/10/1976 or 05/09/1979 or 05/19/1979	
16	Defendant.	
17	Defendant.	
18	INTRODU	CTION
19	This matter came before the Honorable Alb	erto C. Lamorena, III on December 31, 2024 for
20	This matter came before the Honorable Alberto C. Lamorena, III on December 31, 2024 for	
21	a Revocation Hearing in the above-captioned matter related to Tommy Peter's (aka Tommy Fasi)	
22	( <i>aka</i> Batni Fasi) ( <i>aka</i> Balti Fasi) ( <i>aka</i> Bat Fasy) ( <i>a</i>	ka Batly Fasi) (aka Patsy Fasi) (aka Patni Fasi)
23	(aka Benty Patches) (aka Plenty Patches) (aka Ben	ty) (aka John Doe) (aka Tekeson William) (aka
24	Tommy William) ("Defendant") failure to abide	e by his probationary terms. Defendant was
25	represented by Assistant Public Defender John Mo	orrison. The People of Guam were represented
26	by Assistant Attorney General William Stamps. I	Javing duly considered the Derties' briefs and
27	by Assistant Auomey Ocherar william Stamps. I	naving uny considered the rathes offers, oral
28		
	Decision and Order Granting the Peo	ple's Motion to Revoke Probation

CF0042-21 & CF0048-18, *People of Guam v. Tommy Peter* Page 1 of 6

arguments, and the applicable law, the Court now issues the following Decision and Order Granting
 the People's Motion to Revoke Probation.

**BACKGROUND** 

On August 26, 2021, Defendant entered a plea of guilty to Aggravated Assault (as a 3<sup>rd</sup> Degree Felony) in CF0042-21 and Assault (as a Misdemeanor) in CF0048-18. See Judgment of Conviction (Sep. 24, 2021). A judgment was entered imposing the following relevant conditions of probation:

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3

4

- MANDATORY REPORTING: Defendant shall report to the Adult Probation Office once a month in person, or as ordered by the Court or the Probation Office.
- COUNSELING/TREATMENT: Defendant shall report to Client Services and Family Counseling (CSFC) with the Superior Court of Guam for intake and assessment and comply with all treatment recommendations and requirements including paying all related treatment and Court costs. If treatment requires attendance at Guam Behavioral Health and Wellness Center (GBHWC) programs, Defendant shall go to GBHWC for assessment.
- RESTITUTION: Defendant shall pay restitution in the amount of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to Mylene Sandoval (in CM0224-13). Defendant's obligation shall survive beyond the expiration of any probation term.
  - FINE: Defendant shall pay a fine of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) plus court costs of eighty dollars (\$80.00). All or part of the fine imposed maybe converted to community service at the current prevailing minimum wage.
    - **COMMUNITY SERVICE:** Defendant shall complete **one hundred fifty (150) hours** of **community service** under the direction of the Adult Probation Office. All or part of the community service imposed may be converted into a fine at the current prevailing minimum wage.
- 28
- LAWS: Defendant shall obey all federal and local laws of Guam.

1 2

3

- **COURT ORDERS:** Defendant shall comply with any court orders entered against Defendant, including orders of family court or any other local or federal court of competent jurisdiction.
- 4 Id.

On December 21, 2021, a Violation Report was filed indicating that Defendant had violated
several terms of his probation. See First Violation Report (Dec. 21, 2021). The Court was informed
that Defendant hadn't reported to the Adult Probation Office in nearly two months, and had not
reported to either CSFC or GBHWC for his initial intake and drug/alcohol assessments. Id. The Court
was also informed that Defendant had made no progress on paying off his restitution, court costs, or
fines, and had not performed any of his community service hours. Id.

On March 30, 2022, another Violation Report was filed. The Court was informed that
Defendant had been arrested and charged with Assault (as a Misdemeanor) in CM0090-22. See
Second Violation Report (Mar. 30, 2022).

On October 2, 2023, another Violation Report was filed. The Court was informed that
Defendant hadn't been attending CSFC's treatment recommendations, and hadn't reported to
GBHWC for his initial intake and assessment. See Third Violation Report (Oct. 2, 2023). The Court
was also informed that Defendant hadn't reported to the Adult Probation Office in several months.
Id. It was also made known that Defendant hadn't begun paying off any of his restitution claims or
working off his community service hours, and was also behind on paying off his fines and court costs.

On November 14, 2023, the People filed their Motion to Revoke Defendant's Probation and
Impose Jail Sentence ("Motion"). The People base their request on Defendant's non-compliance with
numerous probation conditions. <u>See</u> Motion (Nov. 14, 2023). Opposing the Motion, Defendant
claims he retains the ability to complete the terms of his probation if given another chance. <u>See</u>
Opposition to Motion (Nov. 28, 2023).

This matter was set for Further Proceedings on December 28, 2023, and Defendant was summonsed to appear in person. See Summons (Oct. 11, 2023). However, Defendant failed to appear

28

1	on that date and a bench warrant was issued for his arrest. See Minute Entry (Dec. 28, 2023); Bench		
2	Warrant (Dec. 29, 2023).		
3	On December 31, 2024, the Court held a Revocation Hearing and subsequently took the		
4	matter under advisement. See Minute Entry (Nov. 8, 2024).		
5	DISCUSSION		
6	Guam law specifies the procedures that the Court must follow for revocation of probation.		
7	The relevant statute, in its entirety, states as follows:		
8	The relevant statute, in its entirety, states as follows.		
9	[T]he court, if satisfied that the offender has inexcusably failed to comply with a substantial requirement imposed as a condition of the order may revoke the		
10	condition shall not result in revocation however, unless the court determines that		
11	revocation under all the circumstances then existing will best satisfy the ends of justice and the best interests of the public.		
12 13	<u>See</u> 9 G.C.A. § 80.66(a)(2) (1980).		
	$\underline{Bcc} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0$		
14	The process for revoking an offender's probation has been further explained by the Guam		
15	Supreme Court as follows:		
16 17	Probation revocation is a two-step process. First, the trial court must make a factual		
17	determination that a violation of probation actually has occurred. If a violation is proven, then the Court must determine if the violation warrants revocation of the		
19	probation.		
20	See People of Guam v. Camacho, 2009 Guam 6 $\P$ 27 (internal citations omitted). To revoke a		
20	defendant's probation, evidence and facts presented to the Court must be "reasonably necessary to		
22	satisfy the judge that the probationer's conduct has not been as required by the conditions of		
23	probation." <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 30 ( <i>citing People v. Angoco</i> , 1998 Guam 10).		
24	The Court also cannot order revocation unless the offender is provided with written notice		
25	of grounds for revocation of probation. See 9 G.C.A. § 80.68(a). At the hearing, the offender shall		
26			
27	"have the right to hear and controvert the evidence against him, to offer evidence in his defense and		
28	to be represented by counsel." <u>Id.</u> Should the Court revoke an offender's probation, "it may impose		
	Decision and Order Granting the People's Motion to Revoke Probation		

۲. **١** 

1 on the offender any sentence that might have been imposed originally for the crime of which he
2 was convicted." See 9 G.C.A. § 80.66(b).

3

It remains undisputed that Defendant violated his probation conditions and that there is probable cause to support the violations. Defendant has consistently failed to report to the Adult Probation Office, and has made zero progress on paying off his restitution or working off his community service hours. Furthermore, Defendant is not attending his counseling / treatment sessions as required, and hasn't even appeared for his initial intake / assessment at GBHWC. Defendant has also directly violated this Court's orders, having failed to appear at hearings at which he was summonsed to.

11

24

Having found that Defendant was in violation of his probation requirements, the next question the Court must resolve is whether "revocation under all the circumstances . . . will best satisfy the ends of justice and the best interests of the public." See 9 G.C.A. § 80.66(a)(2).

15 Based on a review of the record, Defendant has exhausted the trust of the Court by violating 16 numerous probationary conditions. Defendant repeatedly violated the same probationary 17 conditions over and over again, failing to report to the Adult Probation Office and failing to comply 18 with his counseling and treatment sessions at CSFC and GBHWC. The fact that Defendant has 19 made zero progress on completing his restitution or community service obligations shows that 20Defendant never intended on completing these conditions to begin with. Defendant's demonstrated 21 22 history of noncompliance with conditions and orders imposed by the Adult Probation Office is 23 compounded by his noncompliance with orders imposed by this Court.

Were probation continued, it appears unlikely that any significant progress would be made in positively altering Defendant's behavior and ensuring compliance with the Court's orders. Therefore, the Court finds that revocation is in the public's best interest and satisfies the ends of justice. See 9 GCA § 80.66(a)(2).

1. <u>1</u>	\$. 		
	1	CONCLUSION	
	2	For the reasons stated above, the Court hereby <b>REVOKES</b> the Defendant's probation in the	
	3	above-captioned matters. Defendant is hereby <b>SENTENCED</b> to <b>three (3) years incarceration</b> at	
	4	the Department of Corrections, Mangilao with credit for time served. After the completion of the	
	5	Defendant's sentence the Court shall close the above-captioned cases.	
	6		
	7	IT IS SO ORDERED this Jan. 9, 2025	
	8	- ( 5	
	9 10		
	10	HONORABLE ALBERTO C. LAMORENA III Presiding Judge, Superior Court of Guam	
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22	SERVICE VIA EMAIL I acknowledge that an electronic	
	23	copy of the original was e-mailed to:	
	24	take	
	25	Date: 1925 Time: 2. Up	
	26 27	Deputy Clerk, Superior Court of Guam	
	27 28		
	20		
		Decision and Order Granting the People's Motion to Revoke Probation CF0042-21 & CF0048-18, <i>People of Guam v. Tommy Peter</i> Page 6 of 6	